
From: Judy Lindsay

Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2013 7:26 PM

To: RIGHEIMER, JIM; MENSINGER, STEPHEN; MONAHAN, GARY; LEECE, WENDY; Sandi Genis; HATCH, THOMAS

Subject: Comments First

PLEASE Respect the citizens you represent, all comments to be at the beginning of the council meeting to accommodate all who wish to speak.

Judy Lindsay

From:

To: "JRigheimer@ci.costamesa.ca.us" <JRigheimer@ci.costamesa.ca.us>;
"jim.righeimer@costamesaca.gov" <jim.righeimer@costamesaca.gov>; sandra genis
<sandra.genis@costamesaca.gov>; wendy leece <wleece@ci.costamesa.ca.us>;
"GMonahan@ci.costamesa.ca.us" <GMonahan@ci.costamesa.ca.us>;
"SMensing@ci.costamesa.ca.us" <SMensing@ci.costamesa.ca.us>;
"minoo.ashabi@costamesaca.gov" <minoo.ashabi@costamesaca.gov>;
"Sharon.Rodelius@costamesaca.gov" <Sharon.Rodelius@costamesaca.gov>;
"gary.armstrong@costamesaca.gov" <gary.armstrong@costamesaca.gov>;
"tom.hatch@costamesaca.gov" <tom.hatch@costamesaca.gov>

Sent: Monday, December 2, 2013 12:18 PM

Subject: for december 3, 2013 council meeting

hello,

for the residential design guidelines amendments item on the december 3, 2013 council meeting, i'm asking that the design amendments are not approved at this time and in this form.

please find the attached letter i sent to planning commission regarding problems with "residential design guidelines amendment" on october 28,2013.

i feel the amendments described could be detrimental to the value of properties in t. i believe some of these amendments would not preserve the character and scale of established residential neighborhoods.

thank you very much,
cynthia breatore

this is my letter sent prior meeting on this issue

Attn: Cty of Costa Mesa Plannng Commsson, Minoo Ashabi, City of Costa Mesa
Principal Planner

re: 1st floor to second floor ratio of Design Element Amendment

Please consider my comments and questions before the Planning Commission Meeting on 10/28/13. I am concerned that I was not sent an e-notice for the Planning Commission meeting agenda. The questions and comments below are what I can provide at this current time--

i'm sure I may have more comments and questions in the future if this amendment is made.

- Will this change be an across the board change for all neighborhoods?
- Will I (and fellow residents) have the opportunity to have input on a home that may negatively impact my home-- ie: too large for neighborhood, loss of privacy in my backyard pool/spa (a second story would have the ability to look down on my property) and bedrooms, parking issues, possibility of large homes to have lots of people renting rooms?
- Has this change been discussed previously? This is the 1st time I've heard of it
- I feel the setbacks are far too small-- this will increase noise and loss of privacy, loss of property value
- Does this amendment take into account the current property owners/property tax payers rights?
- Does this project favor new larger designs over current private property?
- ---Why are we just receiving notice of this project now on the eve of a new General Plan?
- Would developing this type of home set a precedent for future projects in all of Costa Mesa?
- Could these types of home designs include a balcony that looks down on my backyard-- this is disturbing to see in my neighborhood

I believe these designs would work in other neighborhoods that currently have a lot of two story homes. They would not work in the Freedom Home neighborhood which is mostly single story/single family homes

I feel that 1st floor to second floor larger ratios and decreased set backs are not compatible and harmonious with the surrounding single family residence properties. They would be too large in scale. It would impact property values negatively due to loss of privacy, would create more parking issues and would change the open feeling in the Freedom Home neighborhood.

Thank you for your time and consideration, I really do appreciate it.

Cynthia C. Breatore

Canyon Park / Freedom Homes neighborhood

c.c. Mino Ashabi, City of Costa Mesa Principal Planner
mino.ashabi@costamesaca.gov,

WARRANTS DECEMBER 3, 2013

Date	Remittance to:	Payment Amount	Explanation of payment
11/15/13	Green Product Sales – Furnish & Install	\$16.295	What is this? Booster pump install due to change in electrical availability at Davis Field.
11/22/13	GIT Satellite LLC	57.36	What is this and where? Satellite phone service for BC/Strike Team Response.
11/22/13	TPSS 60 th Event Security Services	\$1,840	What type of security? Late invoice from firm that provided security at main stage area for 60 th . Confirmed that it had not been paid by any other vendor.
11/22/13	CM United Annual Dinner Sponsorship	\$3,500	What for? City sponsorship – Table seating for 2 per Council member.
11/22/13	Endemic Environmental Services - Fairview Park Ph II Monitoring	\$3,348	Please elaborate. Endemic is contracted to provide the biological monitoring and reporting to the regulatory agencies for the Fairview Park Wetlands Project during the first year of planting. Paid for by the OCTA M2 EMP grant.
11/22/13	Foremost Custom Tattoos promotion for PD	\$187.84	What? Small badge temporary tattoos to give out to youngsters – Crime Prevention
11/22/13	Integrated Impressions SS polo shirts/zip sweatshirts	\$4,147.14	For whom? Recreation Division R.O.C.K.S. program staff uniforms.
11/22/13	Orange County Vector Control	\$909.54	For what? Contract for Vector Control services at the Fairview Park Wetlands. They charge for services provided above and beyond just checking for mosquitoes. Inspection payment for September 2013.