



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA REPORT

MEETING DATE: SEPTEMBER 1, 2015

ITEM NUMBER: **NB-1**

SUBJECT: GENERAL PLAN SCREENING GPS-14-04 FOR PROPOSED 28-UNIT RESIDENTIAL PROJECT AT 440 FAIR DRIVE

DATE: AUGUST 19, 2015

FROM: PLANNING DIVISION/DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

PRESENTATION BY: MINOO ASHABI, PRINCIPAL PLANNER

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: MINOO ASHABI, PRINCIPAL PLANNER
(714) 754-5610, minoo.ashabi@costamesaca.gov**

RECOMMENDATION

Accept or deny General Plan amendment request for processing to amend the land use designation from Neighborhood Commercial to Planned Development Residential related to development of a 28-unit residential development at 440 Fair Drive.

REQUEST

The applicant is proposing a 28-unit residential development on the site of an existing office and commercial building located at 440 Fair Drive. If the General Plan Screening is accepted for processing, the proposal would require approval of the following:

- General Plan Amendment to change the land use designation from Neighborhood Commercial (NC) to High Density Residential (HDR);
- Rezone to change the zoning from C1 (Local Business District) to PDR-HD (Planned Development Residential – High Density); and,
- Master plan for site design and architectural plans.

BACKGROUND

Project Site

The proposed project is a 1.66-acre site located at 440 Fair Drive with frontage on Fair Drive and Carnegie Avenue (Attachment 2). A small service station and the Fiat and Dodge dealership separate the site from Harbor Boulevard on the west side. The site is also bounded by the dealership on the north and single family residential units on the east across Carnegie Avenue.

The original application was submitted in May 2014 for development of 32 units. The applicant considered other options and the proposal was recently revised to 28 units.

Proposed Project

Setting

The project site contains two parcels (APNs 141-421-19 and 141-421-21) is developed with a two-story office building that contains 13,500 square feet of medical office, 600 square feet of storage and 6,650 square feet of office and retail space. The project site has a Neighborhood Commercial land use designation that allows for convenience shopping and service needs of local residents. The current building and the neighboring dealerships have been in place since 1960s. Residential development would require a General Plan Amendment and rezoning of the site to high density residential.

Residential Units

The proposed project submitted for screening to the City Council involves a General Plan amendment to allow a 28-unit three- and two-story residential development at a density of 17 du/acre. The project includes two residential unit types; eight units of two-story detached product and 20 units of three-story duplex structures.

The proposed units are an average of 1,786 and 1,811 square feet in area and include a roof deck and a two-car garage as follows:

| Unity type | Unit Number | Total SF | Garage (SF) | Roof Deck (SF) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 3-story - 2 bedroom unit + den | 20 | 1,786 SF | 471 SF | 330 SF |
| 2-story – 3 bedroom unit | 8 | 1,811 SF | 404 SF | 320 SF |
| Total | 28 | | | |

Parking / Access

Access to the site is currently from Fair Drive. The proposed development will eliminate that access and create a new one on Carnegie Avenue; this access is across from low density residential development. No on-street parking opportunity is available to the site; parking on both sides of Carnegie Avenue is resident only parking. The proposed plan provides for a required fire department access on Fair Drive that will be restricted for emergencies. Based on the current mix of office, medical, retail and service uses within the 20,750 SF building, it is anticipated that the new proposal will reduce the number of average daily trips by approximately 484 trips. A full trip generation analysis including AM and PM peak hours will be required for the general plan amendment.

To comply with the parking standards, the project will require a total of 92 parking spaces (3 spaces per unit for two-bedroom units and 4 spaces per unit for three-bedroom units). The applicant is proposing a two-car garage and a carport for the two-bedroom units and a two-car garage and two open parking spaces in driveways for the three-bedroom units.

| Required Parking (per unit) | Provided (per unit) |
|---|---|
| <i>Two-bedroom + den</i> Two-garage spaces and One open parking spaces | Two-car garage One carport |
| <i>Three-bedroom</i> Two-garage spaces and two open parking spaces | Two-car garage Two open parking in driveways |

Building Design and Setbacks

The proposed site plan will provide a 16-foot building setback along Fair Drive and Carnegie Avenue. The minimum front setback for corner lots is 20 feet on both street frontages in Planned Development zoning districts and the proposal will be subject to approval of a minor modification. The site design allows for installation of a perimeter wall along Fair Drive to enclose the private open spaces for those units and mitigate any potential noise from Harbor Boulevard. The units along Carnegie Avenue are designed with a front facing yard that are visible and accessible from that street frontage. This configuration allows a good interface with the single family residences on the east side of Carnegie Avenue.

The residential property to the south and east of the project site are two-story and single story structure. Since the single family residences on east side of the Carnegie will be mostly impacted by visual effects of the new development, the units along Carnegie Avenue are designed with two stories and a roof deck. The three-story units are positioned along the commercial properties and Fair Drive frontage.

The site is currently bounded by a concrete block wall along Carnegie Avenue and mature Ficus trees (on private property) that will be replaced with other tree species with less-intrusive root system and landscaping complementing the proposed front yards and the building designs.

In meetings with the applicant, staff recommended a wider setback along the commercial properties on the west and north; the proposed site plan provide an average of 15 feet on the west side and 10 feet along the north abutting the dealership parking lot. The following is a list of proposed setbacks in comparison with the development standards of the Planned Development Residential zoning:

| Criteria | PDR-HD requirement | Proposed |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| Perimeter Open Space | 20 feet - along Fair Drive and Carnegie Avenue | 16 feet |
| Open Space | 42% inclusive of perimeter open space | 40% at grade Balconies – 900 SF Roof Decks – 9,160 SF Total Proposed – 53.8% |
| Interior Setbacks | N/A | 10 ft. and 16 ft. – north 15 ft. – west |
| Max. Height | Four stories | Three-stories and a roof deck 44 feet |
| Minimum lot size | 1.0 acre | 1.66 acre |
| Maximum density | 20 du/acre | 17 du/acre |
| Distance between Buildings | <u>N/A</u> | 8.5 -10 ft. |

ANALYSIS

General Plan Amendment Screening Criteria

City Council Policy 500-2 sets forth the criteria to evaluate General Plan amendment requests. Council takes action on whether or not a proposal should be accepted for processing by using these criteria as guidance. The Policy establishes a procedure for processing privately initiated General Plan amendments (Attachment 1). The policy also acknowledges these criteria are only guidelines and City Council may accept an application which does not meet the criteria if it finds there are overriding reasons to do so.

A project summary sheet is attached for the screening request. This summary sheet provides the following information:

- General Plan Land Use Map and Aerial Photograph
- Potential Project Description, Land Use and Traffic Evaluation
- Justification for approval or rejection of application for further processing

Additionally, acceptance of a proposal for screening is not a commitment to approve the General Plan amendment. This action represents that Council would like to consider the request for processing, and subsequently explore alternatives prior to making a final decision on amending the General Plan.

The following includes a list of items that may require additional site planning considerations:

- Neighboring Commercial Uses - Because this site is abutting commercial properties and is located across from single family residential properties. The project needs to be designed to minimize any potential land use and aesthetics impacts; an initial study and potentially a mitigated negative declaration may be required for the project. The environmental analysis will also examine existing noise and circulation conditions and consider mitigation measures to reduce any potential impacts.
- Common Area Open Space - The project site does not provide any common area open space; however, since a subdivision will be processed with the development application, the project will be subject to parkland in lieu fees. The project's overall open space at ground level is 40 percent (42% required); however, roof decks and balconies are provided that will raise the overall open space to 53 percent. The Planned Development Residential open space criteria does not allow for inclusion of roof decks in the open space calculation; it is a policy decision to allow meeting this requirement with the proposed roof decks.
- Open Parking Spaces - Even though the project provides the required number of parking spaces; the proposal does not include any common area open parking. All parking are provided on driveways and carports.
- Roof Decks – The proposed roof decks are considered private open space and subject to exterior noise standards. Because of compatibility and noise issues, the

footprint of the roof decks may need to be modified. This will require further studies that will be evaluated with the environmental analysis for the project.

ALTERNATIVES

In addition to providing general feedback on the proposed project, City Council would need to take action on the General Plan amendment screening request.

1. Accept processing of the General Plan Amendment request. This will require amending the General Plan to allow a high density residential development in place of an existing two-story office/commercial building.
2. Deny General Plan Amendment request from further processing. If the application is denied, the property owner will continue to maintain the office/ commercial use.

FISCAL REVIEW

Fiscal review is not required for this item.

LEGAL REVIEW

The City Attorney's office has considered the proposal and determined that a General Plan amendment is necessary in order for the project to proceed as proposed.

CONCLUSION

Staff believes the proposed development meets the goals and intent of the City's General Plan and regional land use plans in that it provides new housing within proximity to transit and commercial service. The proposed development will replace a dated office/commercial building with new for-sale housing ideal for first time homebuyers, in addition to providing housing opportunities in close proximity to major transportation corridors and neighborhood service uses.

MINOO ASHABI, AIA
Principal Planner

GARY ARMSTRONG, AICP
Economic and Development Services
Director

Attachments:

1. [Summary Sheet](#)
2. [Council policy 500-2](#)
3. [Vicinity Map](#)
4. [General Plan Map](#)
5. [Zoning Map](#)
6. [Submitted plans](#)

cc: Chief Executive Officer
Assistant Chief Executive Officer
Public Services Director
City Attorney
Transportation Services Manager
City Engineer

City Clerk (9)

Staff (7)

File (2)

Sheldon Development, LLC.

901 Dove Street, Suite 140

Newport Beach, CA 92660