

PH-1 ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS

**CODE AMENDMENT CO-16-01 – AMENDMENT TO TITLE 13,
CHAPTER VIII, ARTICLES 2, 6 & 6.5, POLITICAL CAMPAIGN SIGNS
AND PORTABLE SIGNS WITHIN PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY AND TABLE
13-115 OF THE COSTA MESA MUNICIPAL CODE**

UNOFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED

**MINUTE EXCERPTS FROM THE
JUNE 13, 2016 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING
Public Hearing No. 1 – CO-16-01**

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. **Application No.:** CO-16-01
Applicant: City of Costa Mesa
Zone: Citywide
Project Planner: Willa Bouwens-Killeen
Environmental Determination:

This project is exempt under Section 15061 (b) (3) (general rule) of the CEQA Guidelines.

Description: Code Amendment CO-16-01 is a Zoning Code amendment related to portable signs placed within public right-of-ways. Portable signs are not permanently affixed to the ground, structure(s), or a building; these signs include commercial advertisements, realty signs, political campaign signs, directional signage, etc. The proposed code amendment relates to the following:

- Create new definitions to distinguish between commercial and non-commercial portable signs due to a recent United States Supreme Court ruling.
- Establish sign regulations specifying allowable time periods and installations for commercial and non-commercial signs in the public right-of-ways.

Willa Bouwens-Killeen, Zoning Administrator, presented the staff report.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

No public comment.

The Chair closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Sesler asked for clarification with the definition of commercial portable signs in the ordinance. Ms. Bouwens-Killeen responded that the definition refers to advertising a business.

MOTION: Hereby move that the Planning Commission recommend that the City Council approve Ordinance Code Amendment CO-16-01 and give it first reading.

Moved by Commissioner McCarthy, second by Vice Chair Mathews.

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Dickson, Mathews, McCarthy, Sesler, Andranian

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstained: None

ORDINANCE NO. 16-

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF COSTA MESA,
CALIFORNIA ADOPTING ZONING CODE AMENDMENT CO-16-01
AMENDING TITLE 13, CHAPTER VIII, ARTICLES 2, 6 & 6.5,
POLITICAL CAMPAIGN SIGNS AND PORTABLE SIGNS WITHIN
PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY AND TABLE 13-115**

**THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS
FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1: FINDINGS

The City Council finds as follows:

- a. The City controls the rights of way within the city, and, as property owner, has greater ability to regulate what speech may or may not occur in the right of way than it does on private property.
- b. The City could lawfully prohibit all temporary non-commercial and commercial signage in the right of way.
- c. In the four weeks prior to an election, there is a greater desire by the public to place non-commercial signage in the city's right of way than in the period after an election.
- d. The city has significant interest in promoting traffic safety and protecting the aesthetics of the community.
- e. Especially in the four weeks prior to elections, the city incurs substantial direct and indirect costs in enforcing strict regulations upon the placement of non-commercial signage within the city's right of way.
- f. The best way to balance the public's desire to place signage within the right of way with the need for the city to promote community aesthetics and traffic safety is to establish reasonable, content neutral time-place manner restrictions which allow the public to place signage within the city's right of way in the four weeks prior to an election.
- g. This ordinance will revise the municipal code to better align the code with the 2015 U.S. Supreme Court case, *Reed v. Town of Gilbert*.
- h. The ordinance is intended to treat all non-commercial portable signs in an equal manner, regardless of the content of such signs.
- i. The regulations established by this ordinance do not impermissibly infringe upon speech as there are multiple alternate methods via which the public may communicate including via signs placed on private property in a manner consistent with applicable law.

SECTION 2: Title 13, Chapter VIII, Article 2, Definitions of the Costa Mesa Municipal Code, is hereby amended as follows:

Commercial portable sign. Any sign which can be moved from place to place which is not permanently affixed to the ground or to a building **used for commercial purposes**. The term portable sign includes, but is not limited to, any sign affixed to an automobile, truck, trailer, or other vehicle where such sign solicits patronage to a specific business by means of parking such a vehicle primarily for the purpose of advertising such business. The term portable sign shall also include a sign posted in or on a vehicle

parked on public or private property without written consent of the property owner, advertising that vehicle for sale.

~~Political campaign sign.~~ **Non-commercial portable sign.** A sign indicating the name and/or picture of an individual seeking election to a public office, or concerning any issue, ballot measure or ballot proposition in a municipal, community, state or federal election, or pertaining to the advocating by persons, groups, or parties of political view or policies regarding a matter to be voted on in a forthcoming election. Any sign which can be moved from place to place which is not permanently affixed to the ground or to a building which is not used for commercial purposes. Examples of non-commercial signs generally include, but are not limited to, signs that espouse a political or religious viewpoint, and signs commenting on public official(s) or matter(s) of public discourse.

SECTION 3: Title 13, Chapter VIII, Table 13-115 (“Sign Regulations and Design Standards”), is hereby amended as follows:

	RESIDENTIAL ZONES	COMMERCIAL ZONES	INDUSTRIAL ZONES	INSTITUTIONAL ZONES
POLITICAL CAMPAIGN NON-COMMERCIAL PORTABLE SIGNS ON PRIVATE PROPERTY	5 sq. ft. maximum per sign/ maximum 3 foot height above grade	Subject to Section 13-123.		
COMMERCIAL PORTABLE SIGNS WITHIN THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY	Subject to Section 13-123.5.			

SECTION 4: Title 13, Chapter VIII, Article 6, Political Campaign Signs, is hereby amended as follows:

ARTICLE 6. ~~POLITICAL CAMPAIGN SIGNS~~ **PORTABLE SIGNS WITHIN THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY**

Sec. 13-123. ~~POLITICAL CAMPAIGN SIGNS~~ **NON-COMMERCIAL PORTABLE SIGNS**

(a) ~~Any person, party, entity, or group posting political campaign signs within the boundaries of the city shall first file a statement with the city clerk designating the person or entity responsible for posting the political campaign signs in the city and liable for the estimated actual cost of removal of the political campaign signs to ensure compliance with the provisions of this article, and such statement shall certify to the city clerk that consent has been obtained from the owners, lessees or occupants of non-publically owned real property prior to placement of political campaign signs thereon. Only one (1) political campaign sign statement is~~

~~required of any party or group, regardless of the number of individuals posting signs on its behalf.~~

~~(b) All political campaign signs shall be removed not later than ten (10) days following the date of any election to which they pertain, if any.~~

~~(c) No political campaign sign shall be placed or posted on any public property or utility pole, except on or within a public right-of-way pursuant to the provisions of section 13-123.5, Portable Signs within Public Right of Way.~~

~~(d) No political campaign sign shall be lighted, either internally or externally.~~

~~(e) No political campaign sign shall be placed in a manner that would obstruct visibility to pedestrian or vehicular traffic.~~

(a) **Standards.** A non-commercial portable sign may be placed on or within the public right-of-way without a permit, provided it complies with the following requirements:

(1) **Size and height.** The maximum size shall not exceed five square feet and the maximum height shall not be more than three feet above grade.

(2) **Identification.** The owner of the signs(s) shall affix its name, address, and telephone number to the sign prior to installation of the sign.

(3) **Location.** The location for installation of a non-commercial portable sign within the public right-of-way shall be subject to the following:

a. The sign may be placed in any landscaped parkway but not within the center median of any street or highway. Tree wells shall not be considered a landscaped parkway for the purposes of this section;

b. The sign shall not overhang any street, curb, sidewalk, or driveway;

c. The sign shall not be within 15 feet of any fire hydrant;

d. The sign shall not be within 15 feet of the edge of any driveway nor within 15 feet of any intersection of an alley, street, or highway, as measured from the midpoint of the corner radius;

e. The sign shall not be placed within the public right-of-way adjacent to any property zone I & R, or I & R-S;

f. The sign shall not be affixed to traffic control devices, government signs, light standards, utility poles, bus shelters, or other structures, posts, fences, shrubs or trees.

(4) **Installation.** The installation of the non-commercial portable sign shall not cause damage to the public right-of-way.

(5) **Time Period.** In general, a non-commercial portable sign in the public right-of-way shall only be displayed during the time period between 6 am Friday to 6 pm Sunday of any week period. However, during the six-week period before any election of any level of federal, state, or local government that directly serves the residents of the City of Costa Mesa, non-commercial signs may be installed in the public right-of-way regardless of the day or time. Such signs are to be removed no later than 10 days following the date of such election.

(b) **Exceptions.** The requirements of this section shall not apply to a non-commercial portable sign with a total area of not more than five square feet that is carried by a person within the public right-of-way unless prohibited by Section 13-112.

SECTION 5: Article 6.5 of Chapter VIII of Title 13 of the Municipal Code is deleted, and existing section 13-123.5, currently within Article 6.5, is moved to be within Article 6. Section 13-123.5 is revised as follows:

~~ARTICLE 6.5 PORTABLE SIGNS WITHIN PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY~~

Sec. 13-123.5 COMMERCIAL PORTABLE SIGNS WITHIN PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY

- (a) **Standards.** A **commercial** portable sign may be placed on or within the public right-of-way without a permit, provided it complies with the following requirements:
- (1) **Size and height.** The maximum size shall not exceed five square feet and the maximum height shall not be more than three feet above grade.
 - (2) **Identification.** The owner of the signs(s) shall affix its name, address, and telephone number to the sign prior to installation of the sign.
 - (3) **Location.** The location for installation of a **commercial** portable sign within the public right-of-way shall be subject to the following:
 - a. The sign may be placed in any landscaped parkway but not within the center median of any street or highway. Tree wells shall not be considered a landscaped parkway for the purposes of this section;
 - b. The sign shall not overhang any street, curb, sidewalk, or driveway;
 - c. The sign shall not be within 15 feet of any fire hydrant;
 - d. The sign shall not be within 15 feet of the edge of any driveway nor within 15 feet of any intersection of an alley, street, or highway, as measured from the midpoint of the corner radius;
 - e. The sign shall not be placed within the public right-of-way adjacent to any property zone I & R, or I & R-S;
 - f. The sign shall not be affixed to traffic control devices, government signs, light standards, utility poles, bus shelters, or other structures, posts, fences, shrubs or trees.
 - (4) **Installation.** The installation of the **commercial** portable sign shall not cause damage to the public right-of-way.
 - (5) **Time period.** A **commercial** portable sign shall only be displayed during the time period between 6 am Friday to 6 pm Sunday of any week period.
 - (6) **Number.** The total number of **commercial** portable signs per owner or its agent pursuant to this section shall not exceed 10 signs in the city at any one time.
- (b) **Exceptions.** The requirements of this section shall not apply to a **commercial** portable sign with a total area of not more than five square feet that is carried by a person within the public right-of-way unless prohibited by Section 13-112.

SECTION 6: ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION. The project has been reviewed for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the CEQA guidelines, and the City's environmental procedures, and has been found to be exempt pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) (general rule), in that the City Council hereby finds that it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this ordinance will have a significant effect on the environment as this regulation affects only temporary signage, the revisions caused by the ordinance are technical, rather than substantive in nature, and this ordinance authorizes that which is, for practical purposes, nearly the baseline condition.

SECTION 7: INCONSISTENCIES. Any provision of the Costa Mesa Municipal Code or appendices thereto inconsistent with the provisions of this ordinance, to the extent of such inconsistencies and or further, is hereby repealed or modified to the extent necessary to affect the provisions of this ordinance.

SECTION 8: SEVERABILITY. If any provision or clause of this ordinance or the application thereof to any person or circumstances is held to be unconstitutional or otherwise invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions or clauses or applications of this ordinance which can be implemented without the invalid provision, clause or application; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are declared to be severable.

SECTION 9: PUBLICATION. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in full force thirty (30) days from and after the passage thereof, and prior to the expiration of fifteen (15) days from its passage shall be published once in the ORANGE COAST DAILY PILOT, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the City of Costa Mesa or, in the alternative, the City Clerk may cause to be published a summary of this Ordinance and a certified copy of the text of this Ordinance shall be posted in the office of the City Clerk five (5) days prior to the date of adoption of this Ordinance, and within fifteen (15) days after adoption, the City Clerk shall cause to be published the aforementioned summary and shall post in the office of the City Clerk a certified copy of this Ordinance together with the names and member of the City Council voting for and against the same.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this _____ day of _____ 2016.

Mayor of the City of Costa Mesa

ATTEST:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

City Clerk of the City of Costa Mesa

City Attorney

PH-2 ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS
Voting Districts Formation

Subject:

Voting districts

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS FOR PH-2

From: Corinne Stover [mailto:calcs1224@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 9:55 AM

To: GREEN, BRENDA <brenda.green@costamesaca.gov>; MONAHAN, GARY <GARY.MONAHAN@costamesaca.gov>; RIGHEIMER, JIM <JIM.RIGHEIMER@costamesaca.gov>; FOLEY, KATRINA <KATRINA.FOLEY@costamesaca.gov>; Sandy Genis <sandy.genis@costamesaca.gov>; Mayor <Mayor@costamesaca.gov>

Subject: Voting districts

July 5, 2016 9:50 AM

To: Costa Mesa City's Mayor and Council Members:

Re: Voting Districts

There is a problem with the "6 District Idea" that doesn't get mentioned. With 6 council members and 1 mayor elected at-large, there is an uneven number. All fine!

But, it would mean one district would have 2 people on the city council: 1 council member and 1 mayor-elected-at-large. Not fine... and inequitable!

Until the results of the 2020 census, it seems prudent to keep 5 voting districts. When the data from that census is clear, consider Costa Mesa as large enough for 7 voting districts, as equal in size as can be.

Corinne Stover

714-432-7371

calcs1224@gmail.com

Subject:

RE: Regarding tonight's City Council meeting

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS FOR PH-2

From: Nic Lauten [mailto:nic@krochetkids.org]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 11:38 AM

To: CITY CLERK <CITYCLERK@ci.costa-mesa.ca.us>; MENSINGER, STEPHEN <STEPHEN.MENSINGER@costamesaca.gov>; RIGHEIMER, JIM <JIM.RIGHEIMER@costamesaca.gov>; FOLEY, KATRINA <KATRINA.FOLEY@costamesaca.gov>; GENIS, SANDRA <SANDRA.GENIS@costamesaca.gov>; MONAHAN, GARY <GARY.MONAHAN@costamesaca.gov>

Subject: Regarding tonight's City Council meeting

Dear Ms. Green & City Council Members,

My name is Nic Lauten, I am a 13 year resident of Costa Mesa and currently live on the East Side. I have worked for the past 9 years for a local nonprofit organization/apparel brand, Krochet Kids international. I am writing to let you know of my strong support for the formation voting districts in Costa Mesa's city council elections.

Specifically, I am in support of Proposal 1. I believe this will have a positive affect for our city and specifically for our Latino residents that have been largely underrepresented.

Again, I am in strong support of the formation of voting districts and wand to see Proposal 1 be the map that is on the ballot in November.

Thank you for your time,

--

Nic Lauten
Specialty Sales Manager
KK intl.
www.kkintl.org

Subject:

RE: Voting Districts

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS FOR PH-2

-----Original Message-----

From: Jon Krapivkin [mailto:jkrpivkin@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 12:17 PM

To: CITY CLERK <CITYCLERK@ci.costamesa.ca.us>; MENSINGER, STEPHEN <STEPHEN.MENSINGER@costamesaca.gov>; RIGHEIMER, JIM <JIM.RIGHEIMER@costamesaca.gov>; FOLEY, KATRINA <KATRINA.FOLEY@costamesaca.gov>; GENIS, SANDRA <SANDRA.GENIS@costamesaca.gov>; MONAHAN, GARY <GARY.MONAHAN@costamesaca.gov>
Subject: Voting Districts

Good afternoon Ms. Green & City Council Members,

My name is Jon Krapivkin and I have lived in Costa Mesa since 2008 and currently live on the East Side near 17th St. I am emailing to let you know I support the establishment of voting districts in Costa Mesa's city council elections.

I want to voice my support for Proposal 1, which I feel will give representation and a voice to the Latino population which has been largely underrepresented.

I support Proposal 1 and would like to see it on our ballot in November.

Thank you

Jon Krapivkin

Sent from my iPhone

Subject:

FW: Tonight's public hearing on voting districts

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS FOR PH-2

From: Andrew Richards [mailto:ahrichards@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 1:48 PM

To: CITY CLERK <CITYCLERK@ci.costamesa.ca.us>

Subject: Tonight's public hearing on voting districts

Dear Ms. Green & City Council Members,

My name is Andrew Richards. I have been pastoring in Costa Mesa through local churches for the past 7 years. I am on the leadership team for Trellis (network of faith based churches, organizations, and businesses seeking to resolve our city's greatest challenges) and I serve on the board of Mika Community Development Corporation. I am writing to let you know of my strong support for the formation voting districts in Costa Mesa's city council elections.

Specifically, I am in support of Proposal 1. I believe this will have a positive affect for our city and specifically for our Latino residents that have been largely underrepresented.

Again, I am in strong support of the formation of voting districts and want to see Proposal 1 be the map that is on the ballot in November.

Thank you for your time and for your service to Costa Mesa,

Andrew Richards

Subject:

FW: Weighing in on District based election map of the City of Costa Mesa

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS FOR PH-2

From: Tim Celek [mailto:tcelek@thecrossing.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 2:15 PM

To: MONAHAN, GARY <GARY.MONAHAN@costamesaca.gov>; GENIS, SANDRA <SANDRA.GENIS@costamesaca.gov>; FOLEY, KATRINA <KATRINA.FOLEY@costamesaca.gov>; RIGHEIMER, JIM <JIM.RIGHEIMER@costamesaca.gov>; MENSINGER, STEPHEN <STEPHEN.MENSINGER@costamesaca.gov>; Tim Celek <tcelek@thecrossing.com>; CITY CLERK <CITYCLERK@ci.costa-mesa.ca.us>

Subject: Weighing in on District based election map of the City of Costa Mesa

To: The City Council of Costa Mesa: Gary Monahan, Sandra Genis, Katrina Foley, Jim Righeimer, Stephen Menninger

Dear Council Members,

I have been in our city now for 28 years as the Senior and Founding Pastor of The Crossing Church on 2115 Newport Blvd. I also reside in the city at 498 Broadway Street. I have had the privilege of partnering with all of you, either directly or indirectly, through key initiatives in our city. I wholeheartedly support and believe in the well-being of Costa Mesa and its residents.

Through my leadership and that of our church, we seek to breathe life and dignity into every person in our city. Our slogan from the earliest of days has been, "People matter to God, therefore they matter to us." From the beginning, I/we have come alongside of "ALL" people regardless of economic status, educational background, social standing, spiritual belief and ethnic origin. This emphasis has created a rich history of impact that is both personal and communal - an impact that I am quite grateful for these days.

I do not insert myself in political matters. I prefer to stand in the gap privately as I pray for each one of you by name on a weekly basis. However, I have been actively observing, primarily on the internet, what is taking place on the matter of voting in our city. I would like to weigh in as a resident and as a leader in this community. I very much believe in the process you have been considering regarding voting and elections. I would like to go on record as strongly favoring district based elections for Costa Mesa's City Council.

Our city has morphed and changed over the 28 years that I have been actively serving it. I believe now is the time a significant portion of our residents will have the targeted ability to weigh in regarding elections. I would like to encourage you to move toward the 5 district map that allows for tangible opportunity for the under represented constituency of our city. This district approach allows for all folks to actively participate and speak into the life of our city.

I hope it is okay to send you an email on this topic. It is something that runs deep in my gut. Years ago, our church was predominantly homogenous in its make up, while the city around me was not. So I decided to take a stand before our church. For example, at this point, our church is now made up of 47% various ethnicities other than caucasian/non-latino white folks, nearly 30% are from Hispanic backgrounds. Substantially, our church is different from where it was in 1988 when it began, and different from where it was in 1998 as we

were preparing to move to the location on Newport Blvd. I say all this to share with you my belief in “all” people in this city, as I know you do.

Therefore, I look forward to your support of district based elections through a 5 grid mapping of our city, a grid that is representative of pockets of residents from within our city.

Thank you very much for listening, for reading, and for serving in the manner you do!

Sincerely,

Tim Celek
Senior Pastor
The Crossing Church
2115 Newport Blvd

Resident
498 Broadway
Costa Mesa, CA

Subject:

FW: Voting Districts

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS FOR PH-2

From: Mary Carranza [mailto:mary@mikacdc.org]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 2:37 PM

To: CITY CLERK <CITYCLERK@ci.costa-mesa.ca.us>; MENSINGER, STEPHEN <STEPHEN.MENSINGER@costamesaca.gov>; RIGHEIMER, JIM <JIM.RIGHEIMER@costamesaca.gov>; FOLEY, KATRINA <KATRINA.FOLEY@costamesaca.gov>; GENIS, SANDRA <SANDRA.GENIS@costamesaca.gov>; MONAHAN, GARY <GARY.MONAHAN@costamesaca.gov>

Subject: Voting Districts

Dear Ms. Green & City Council Members,

My name is Mary Carranza , I am a 20 year old college student and resident of Costa Mesa .

I am writing because I support the formation voting districts in Costa Mesa's city council elections. I specifically support Proposal 1 and would like to see Proposal 1 on the ballot in November.

Thank you ,

Mary Carranza

Subject:

FW: Public Comment Regarding Voting Districts

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS FOR PH-2

-----Original Message-----

From: Christine Nolf [mailto:christine.brooks.nolf@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 2:53 PM

To: CITY CLERK <CITYCLERK@ci.costamesa.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment Regarding Voting Districts

Dear Ms. Green and City Council Members,

I'm writing to express my support of the proposed move to voting districts. My husband and I own a home on the Westside. We have lived in this neighborhood for twelve years and prior to that I grew up in Mesa Verde. We recently had our first child and look forward to raising him in Costa Mesa.

Specifically I am in favor of Proposal #1 and hope to see that version of the districts on the ballot in November.

Throughout my years living in the Westside I have been a part of many conversations with neighbors discussing our desire for council members to be more in tune with the culture, desires, and needs of our particular neighborhood. I believe that voting districts will allow each unique segment of Costa Mesa to be well represented and highlight the issues of their area in ways that strengthen the whole city. I personally know several leaders in our neighborhood who deeply care for Costa Mesa and would represent us well.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christine Nolf

Subject:

RE: Costa Mesa Voting Districts--Public Comment

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS FOR PH-2

From: Anna Kennedy [mailto:anna@mikacdc.org]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 2:59 PM

To: CITY CLERK <CITYCLERK@ci.costamesa.ca.us>; MENSINGER, STEPHEN <STEPHEN.MENSINGER@costamesaca.gov>; RIGHEIMER, JIM <JIM.RIGHEIMER@costamesaca.gov>; FOLEY, KATRINA <KATRINA.FOLEY@costamesaca.gov>; GENIS, SANDRA <SANDRA.GENIS@costamesaca.gov>; MONAHAN, GARY <GARY.MONAHAN@costamesaca.gov>

Subject: Costa Mesa Voting Districts--Public Comment

Dear Ms. Green & City Council Members,

My name is Anna Kennedy. I am the Director of Mika Leadership Institute Kids at Mika Community Development Corporation. I have lived in Costa Mesa for 4 years and currently reside at 245 Mesa Dr.

This email is to serve as the acknowledgement of my support for the formation of voting districts with regard to Costa Mesa's city council elections. I am specifically in support of Proposal 1 because I believe that it most accurately reflects the unique make-up of our city and will help give representation to the unrepresented Latino residents that make up more than a 1/4 of Costa Mesa.

Again, I am in strong support of the formation of voting districts and want to see Proposal 1 be the map that is on the ballot in November.

Thank you for your time,

anna kennedy

Director, MLI kids



Mika CDC

Office: 949-645-0075

Cell: 417-425 9564

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS FOR PH-2

From: Michael Moses Nolf <michael.moses.nolf@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 3:23 PM
To: CITY CLERK
Cc: MENSINGER, STEPHEN; RIGHEIMER, JIM; FOLEY, KATRINA; GENIS, SANDRA;
MONAHAN, GARY
Subject: 07-05-2016 Public Comment Regarding Voting Districts

Dear Ms. Green and City Council Members,

I'm writing to express my support of the proposed move to voting districts. My wife and I own a home on the Westside. I first moved to Costa Mesa in January 2013 and have considered it home since then (even with two very short stints living outside of the city). We recently had our first child and look forward to raising him in Costa Mesa.

Specifically I am in favor of Proposal #1 and hope to see that version of the districts on the ballot in November.

I am excited for what a richness of diversity of leadership and representation in this city can look like - I believe that voting districts can be a step in the right direction to provide this for my favorite city.

Thank you for your consideration,

--

Michael Nolf