



PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION AGENDA REPORT

MEETING DATE: APRIL 23, 2015

ITEM NUMBER:

SUBJECT: TREE REMOVAL REQUEST - 3081 KLONDIKE AVENUE

DATE: APRIL 15, 2015

FROM: PUBLIC SERVICES DEPARTMENT, MAINTENANCE SERVICES DIVISION

PRESENTATION BY: BRUCE A. HARTLEY, MAINTENANCE SERVICES MANAGER

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: BRUCE A. HARTLEY (714) 754-5123

RECOMMENDATION

Deny the request to remove two (2) City owned parkway trees growing in the public right-of-way adjacent to the side of 3081 Klondike Avenue.

BACKGROUND

The Maintenance Services Division was initially contacted by the property owner (Applicant) of 3081 Klondike Avenue on October 30, 2012, requesting removal of several City owned trees located in the parkway and the landscape set-back on the Yukon Avenue side of the property located at the corner of Yukon Avenue and Klondike Avenue. At that time, the City Arborist denied the removal request and directed the City's tree maintenance contractor to trim branches away from the property line to mitigate the impacts of the trees. This yielded some improvement but did not address issues with the roots of the trees and their close proximity to the perimeter walls of the adjacent private property.

The Applicant submitted a formal request for removal of the trees on October 16, 2013. At the meeting of November 21, 2013, the Commission considered the removal request. The Commission continued the request to the meeting of January 23, 2014. At that meeting, the Commission considered the request for the removal of eight (8) City-owned trees. The removal of three trees (S-2, S-3 and S-4) was approved and the removal of five trees (S-1, F-1, F-2, F-3 and F-4) was denied. See Attachment 1. The Commission also directed staff to evaluate the condition of the curb, gutter and sidewalk adjacent to the parkway trees and take appropriate action to mitigate any adverse effects attributable to the trees.

On February 19, 2015, the City's Street Maintenance crew removed an area of sidewalk adjacent to Tree F-3 to allow the City's tree maintenance contractor to prune the roots and install root barriers; after which the sidewalk was reconstructed. The damaged curb and gutter and raised asphalt adjacent to Tree F-3 is in the process of being replaced through a larger parkway maintenance contract, which is underway in the neighborhood and should be completed within the next 30 days.

On March 10, 2015, the Maintenance Services Division received a request to remove two (2) City-owned parkway trees growing in the public right-of-way adjacent to the Applicant's property. See Attachment 2.

ANALYSIS

The Applicant has requested that two (2) trees be removed from the parkway. The two trees being considered for removal are Tree F-3 and Tree F-4, which are the last two trees of the four parkway trees located in the parkway on Yukon Avenue, immediately west of Klondike Avenue.

Tree Information:

- Tree F-3 is a Canary Island Pine, *Pinus canariensis*, approximately sixty feet (60') in height with a trunk diameter of twenty inches (20"). It is in good condition, with no observable defects or disease present. The tree has been pruned on a 3-5 year cycle, with the last pruning performed April 25, 2014. The roots of the tree have caused damage to the adjacent curb, gutter and sidewalk. The curb and gutter will be repaired as part of a project that is currently underway in that neighborhood. The roots on the sidewalk side of the tree were recently pruned with root barriers installed. Due to the size and maturity of the tree, relocation is not recommended.
- Tree F-4 is a Canary Island Pine, *Pinus canariensis*, approximately thirty feet (30') in height with a trunk diameter of eight inches (8"). It is in good condition, with no observable defects or disease present. The tree has been pruned on a 3-5 year cycle, with the last pruning performed April 25, 2014. There is no observable damage to the adjacent curb, gutter and sidewalk associated with this tree. As a result, no root pruning was performed on this tree. Due to the significant cost of removing and replacing the sidewalk, curb, gutter and asphalt necessary for the boxing and removal of the tree, relocation is not recommended. See Attachment 3.

The Applicant provided the following justification for the removal of the trees:

- The Applicant is concerned with the potential for damage to recent extensive private property improvements (wall, backyard hardscape and pool) that could be caused by roots that grow over, under or around the root barriers associate with the F-3 tree.
- The Applicant is concerned that no root barriers were placed adjacent to the second tree (F-4), which the Applicant believes has a greater chance of causing damage in the future due to its young age and expected large size at maturity.

The Applicant was provided a copy of the meeting agenda and the report. Notices were sent to all 132 homes located within all of the neighborhoods accessed via Yukon Avenue. See Attachment 4.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

1. The Commission could authorize the removal and replacement of one or both of the trees requested to be removed, per the Streetscape and Median Development Standards, Section 4.0.3 Category 3 – Discretionary Removals, which would require the replacement of each tree with one (1) twenty-four inch box-size tree to be planted at the same address and two (2) fifteen gallon-size trees to be planted

elsewhere on City property. The applicant would pay all removal and replacement costs.

If approved by the Commission, the tree(s) must be removed and mitigation trees or in lieu funds provided to the City within one year from the date of final approval, after which the approval expires.

2. The Commission could authorize the removal of the tree(s) as a Category 1 – ‘Health and Safety’ removal with all removal and replacement costs paid for by the City and determine the number and size of the replacement/mitigation trees, if any, to be planted.

FISCAL REVIEW

There would be no fiscal impact to the City if the request to remove the trees was denied; or approved as a Category 3 - Discretionary Removal, as the applicant would pay all costs.

The costs for the mitigation trees (1 – 24” box size and 2 – 15 gallon size) would be \$425 for each tree removed. Removal cost for Tree F-3 would be \$400. The removal cost for Tree F-4 would be \$120. Costs are based on current City contract prices. Total cost to the Applicant for the removal and replacement would vary depending on the number of trees removed, as shown below:

Tree F-3: \$400 removal and \$425 replacement, for a total of \$825.

Tree F-4: \$120 removal and \$425 replacement, for a total of \$545.

If the Commission were to approve the request to remove the trees as a Category 1 - Health and Safety Removal, with the City paying removal and replacement costs, the cost for the removal of the trees would be same as above, with a cost of replanting 1 – 24” box size tree of \$200, for each tree removed, if the Commission determined that a replacement tree was needed.

LEGAL REVIEW

No legal review is required for this item.

CONCLUSION

The two City-owned Canary Island Pine trees that are being requested to be removed are located within the public right-of-way on Yukon Avenue to the side of 3081 Klondike Avenue.

The trees are not currently causing observable damage to private property and measures have been taken to reduce the likelihood of future damage to private property from the roots of the largest tree. It is therefore recommended that the Commission deny the request to remove the trees.


BRUCE A. HARTLEY
Maintenance Services Manager


ERNESTO MUÑOZ
Public Services Director

- ATTACHMENTS:
1. Minutes – Parks & Recreation Meeting of January 23, 2014
 2. Applicant Request for Tree Removal via electronic mail March 10, 2015
 3. Tree Information & Photographs
 4. Resident Notice of Parks & Recreation Commission Meeting dated April 8, 2014.

C: Gabriella Oseguera
3081 Klondike Avenue
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

**THE CITY OF COSTA MESA
REGULAR MEETING OF THE
PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION**

JANUARY 23, 2014

The Parks and Recreation Commission of the City of Costa Mesa, California met in regular session at 6:00 p.m. on Thursday, January 27, 2014, in the Council Chambers at City Hall, 77 Fair Drive, Costa Mesa, California.

1. **Call to Order: Chair de Arakal**
2. **Pledge of Allegiance: Vice Chair Pederson**
3. **Roll Call**

Commissioners Present: Commissioner Dean Abernathy
Vice Chair Kim Pederson
Chair Byron de Arakal
Commissioner Donald Harper
Commissioner Robert Graham

Commissioners Absent:

Officials Present: Mr. Bruce A. Hartley, Maintenance Services Manager
Mr. Bart Mejia, Parks Project Manager
Mr. Robert E. Knapp, Recreation Manager
Mr. Ernesto Munoz, Public Services Director

4. **Approval of the Minutes of the November 21, 2013, Meeting**

MOTION made by Vice Chair Pederson, seconded by Commissioner Abernathy, carried five to zero to approve the minutes of the November 21, 2013, meeting.

5. **Oral Communications**

1. Rocky Evans – Costa Mesa

Mr. Evans inquired if there would be any further action or discussion taking place regarding the skate park. He offered to participate in those efforts.

2. Mr. Mark Portnoy – Costa Mesa

Mr. Portnoy indicated individuals using the skate park have been doing some self-policing.

Chair de Arakal indicated staff is working with the police department to provide a program that will be presented at the February 2014 meeting. Chair de Arakal also suggested the group would meet the first or second week of February, and Mr. Evans and Mr. Portnoy would be invited to attend.

6. **Consent Calendar**

a. Adult & Youth Contract Instructional Class Proposals

MOTION made by Commissioner Harper, seconded by Vice Chair Pederson, carried five to zero to approve fees for one (1) new adult instructional class, four (4) new youth instructional classes, and one (1) fee increase for a current youth

Chair de Arakal called for questions from the commissioners.

Commissioner Graham inquired about the cost of trimming the trees and was provided guidance on the various styles of tree trimming.

3081 Klondike Avenue

1. Applicant Gabriella Oseguera, Costa Mesa
Applicant Ms. Oseguera indicated there is existing danger to her property due to the trees. She identified trees F3, S4 and S3 as creating damage to her property. She suggested that trees be planted that are better suited to the neighborhood.
2. Jessica Harris, Costa Mesa
Ms. Harris indicated the trees are healthy and she enjoys them.
3. Mark Harris, Costa Mesa
Mr. Harris suggested the city's arborist, Mr. Hartley, and a third individual view the trees in question and evaluate what needs to be done.
4. Kim DeBroux, Costa Mesa
Ms. DeBroux referenced to a petition turned into the commission signed by 59 neighbors. She indicated that the trees in question are healthy and removing them will devalue her property.
5. Carolyn Reyes, Costa Mesa
Ms. Reyes expressed she was against removing the neighborhood trees.
6. George Berg, Costa Mesa
Mr. Berg agrees in defense of the trees and he favored a thorough trimming of the trees.
7. Don Andrews, Costa Mesa
Mr. Andrews suggested that trees damaging property should be addressed; however, the trees in the parkway should remain unless they are causing problems.
8. Kelly Waterman, Costa Mesa
Ms. Waterman indicated the trees in question require more than one solution. She expressed the focus should be on the slope trees.

Chair de Arakal invited the commission to ask questions of staff or the Applicant.

Commissioner Graham indicated a parkway tree identified as F3 should be addressed due to the water and curb issues, as well as trees against the wall identified as S4, S3, and S2.

Mr. Hartley indicated he shared concerns with the trees against the wall. They are not currently causing damage.

Commissioner Harper asked if this type of tree has potential to damage anything.

Mr. Hartley indicated they are planted on a slope and the roots will fan out. Quite likely they could in the future cause problems.

Vice Chair Pederson indicated trees are an asset.

Commissioner Abernathy discussed with Mr. Hartley if tree F3 has had any root pruning done.

Chair de Arakal asked if the trees are mature and Mr. Hartley responded that S3 is mature.

Commissioner Graham noted when visiting the location there was some damage to a wall.

MOTION was made by Vice Chair Pederson, seconded by Commissioner Harper, carried five to zero, to approve the removal of three (3) trees identified as S2, S3 and S4 per the Streetscape and Median Development Standards, Section 4.0.3 – Discretionary Removals, with the Applicant paying all removal costs and paying for mitigation trees (\$425 for each tree removed) with trees to be planted elsewhere on public property and deny the request for removal of trees identified as F1, F2, F3 and F4, with the addition that the staff evaluate curb, gutter and sidewalk adjacent to tree F3 and take appropriate action and have City staff evaluate for landscape improvements.

883 Prospect Place

1. Kelly Waterman, Costa Mesa

Ms. Waterman provided photos of eight trees behind her residence. She reported cracks in her wall due to the trees and no light is permitted into her backyard.

Chair de Arakal called for questions from the commissioners.

Commissioner Abernathy discussed with the Applicant which trees she is actually wanting removed.

Commissioner Graham asked Ms. Waterman which trees are causing damage to her property.

Ms. Waterman cited S11 and S13.

Mr. Hartley cited different alternative maintenance practices are possible to Commissioner Graham.

Commissioner Harper inquired which tree had caused damage to the wall and Ms. Waterman responded S14.

Commissioner Harper asked the Applicant if thinning helps.

Ms. Waterman indicated it helps, but then it grows back.

Mr. Waterman suggested removing S14, S13, and S9.

Chair de Arakal clarified that S14 was causing wall damage and Mr. Waterman responded yes.

Chair de Arakal opened up comments to the audience.

1. Ms. Oseguera, Costa Mesa

Ms. Oseguera spoke in favor of the Waterman's request.

2. Kim DeBroux, Costa Mesa

Ms. DeBroux shared that her vision will now be solar panels.

3. Mr. Garabedian, Costa Mesa

Mr. Garabedian indicated the tree identified as S14 is against the wall needs to be removed. He suggested putting trees on a slope was a bad idea.

4. Mick Meldrum, Costa Mesa

Mr. Meldrum has one of the same trees in front of his home and is happy with it.

5. Jessica Harris, Costa Mesa

Ms. Harris relayed that there seems to be uncertainty of which trees are being spoken about and she feels clarification is necessary.

6. George Berg, Costa Mesa

Mr. Berg shared that people read the petition before signing it and only one (1) individual declined to sign it. He feels that S14 needs to be removed and requested someone from

9. Mark Harris, Costa Mesa

Mr. Harris indicated that trees buffer the noise and also purify the air. He reiterated that three (3) people should view the trees again and evaluate them separately.

10. Sherrie Underwood, Costa Mesa

Ms. Underwood brought up that the trees have been at the location for 30 years. Ms. Underwood indicated that any trees damaging fences/walls should be removed. She suggested a specialist look at each tree both in backyards and outside of defined yards, and evaluate them separately.

11. Mr. Waterman, Costa Mesa

Mr. Waterman indicated a consensus needs to be worked out.

Chair de Arakal asked the commissioners for comments or questions.

Commissioner Graham would like clarification on what trees are moving walls and suggested city staff do such an evaluation.

Chair de Arakal asked Mr. Hartley if any other wall damage could be assessed, other than what is caused by S14. The arborist's field inspection reports did not reflect any other damage. S13 and S14 are reflected as having caused damage.

MOTION made by Chair de Arakal died for the lack of a second.

MOTION made by Commissioner Graham, seconded by Vice Chair Pederson, carried five to zero, to approve, 1) removal of the tree identified as S14 as a Category 1 and root prune and grind the sidewalk adjacent to the tree identified as S13; 2) Staff to evaluate alleged wall damage caused by the trees identified as S9, S10, S11 and S12, with the Maintenance Manager providing the results of the evaluation to the Parks and Recreation Commission at the February 27, 2014, meeting.

(Five (5) minute break)

879 Prospect Place

1. Claire Le, Costa Mesa

Applicant Claire Le did not want to have her tree(s) removed, but was supporting the other Applicants.

MOTION made by Chair de Arakal, seconded by Commissioner Graham, carried five to zero, to deny the request to remove an unspecified number of pine trees behind the Applicant's property.

883 Prospect Place

1. John Garabedian, Costa Mesa

Applicant John Garabedian stated concern for the trees on the slope. There is currently no wall damage.

Chair de Arakal asked for public comments.

1. Kelly Waterman, Costa Mesa

Ms. Waterman supports Mr. Garabedian's request. She suggested thinning out the trees would assist.

2. Mr. Waterman, Costa Mesa

Mr. Waterman supports Mr. Garabedian's request and believes that eventually the Applicant's walls will be affected by the roots of the trees.

3. Gabriella Oseguera, Costa Mesa

Ms. Oseguera feels the entrance to the neighborhood needs to be clean and balanced.

4. Georae Bera. Costa Mesa

Chair de Arakal called for additional questions from the commissioners.

MOTION by Vice Chair Pederson, seconded by Chair de Arakal, carried five to zero, to approve the removal of the tree identified as S5 per the Streetscape and Median Development Standards, Section 4.0.3 – Discretionary Removals, which would require the replacement of the tree with one (1) twenty-four inch box-size tree to be planted at the same address and two (2) fifteen gallon-size trees to be planted elsewhere on City property with the Applicant paying all removal and replacement costs and denying the removal of the remaining trees, and deny the request to remove S6, F2 and F3.

9 New Business

9a Tree Removal Request – 433 Broadway

Mr. Hartley presented the report.

1. Chris McLaughlin, Costa Mesa
Applicant Mr. McLaughlin indicated his fiancé is the owner of the property and he provided historical information on the tree that was planted in the front of the home. Mr. McLaughlin would like to select a tree that goes with the landscaping they are planning.

Chair de Arakal opened up questions of the Applicant.

Mr. McLaughlin indicated his fiancé, the owner of the property, had spoken to the arborist over the telephone requesting the tree not be provided.

Commissioner Harper and Mr. McLaughlin discussed if the Applicant is requesting the tree be removed or if he is requesting it be replaced.

Chair de Arakal asked Mr. McLaughlin when landscaping will occur and the Applicant indicated this year. Chair de Arakal asked the Applicant to inform the city when the landscaping is ready to begin.

Chair de Arakal discussed with Mr. Hartley the terms for a motion.

Commissioner Harper discussed with the Applicant the status of the design for landscaping.

MOTION by Chair de Arakal, seconded by Vice Chair Pederson, carried five to zero, to approve the removal and relocation of one (1) City-owned parkway tree located in the public right-of-way at 433 Broadway, with the following conditions: 1) The City shall remove and relocate the tree to other public property upon the Applicant submitting an acceptable landscape plan to the Maintenance Services Division. The Applicant shall work with City staff to select a replacement tree to be planted following the submission of the landscape plan. 2) All relocation and tree replacement costs shall be at the Applicant's expense. No 3:1 replanting requirement will apply. 3) Commission approval expires one (1) year from date of meeting.

10. Parks Project Manager's Report

Mr. Mejia presented the report in regard to the following projects:

Fairview Park – Wetlands and Riparian Habitat Project
Smallwood Park
Harbor Boulevard Beautification
Harbor Boulevard Bike Trail Improvements

Other Beautification Projects:

West Side Master Plan
Del Mar Avenue at Newport Blvd. Improvements

Commissioner Abernathy spoke of alternative designs to the Smallwood Park play area with Mr. Mejia. Commissioner Abernathy commended Mr. Mejia on the look of the medians.

11. Commissioner's Comments

Commissioner Graham spoke of the fires at Fairview Park.

Commissioner Abernathy conversed with Mr. Hartley about a maintenance strategy for the bark park. Commissioner Abernathy would like citizens to get behind bike paths.

Commissioner Harper indicated that Harbor Blvd. is looking nice and he wondered about the entryway at the golf course. Mr. Munoz indicated that the golf course entryway project is underway. Canary stairs should be done before July.

Vice Chair Pederson spoke of the boardwalk and Mr. Munoz shared the project has been tabled. Vice Chair Pederson expressed disappointment in that decision. He thanked everyone for their hard work.

Chair de Arakal indicated he would like the commission to see the report in regard to the fires at Fairview Park. Mr. Munoz indicated that would be communicated. Chair de Arakal shared disappointment in the decision of the boardwalk and hoped the lines of communication being kept open may allow it to happen at some point. Chair de Arakal requested Mr. Munoz provide an update on the interaction with the US Fish and Wildlife. Chair de Arakal suggested the US Fish and Wildlife may provide their report to the City Council, as well as the Parks and Recreation Commission. Chair de Arakal shared information given at the last school district liaison meeting.

12. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at **9:33 p.m.** to the next regular scheduled agenda review on Thursday, February 27, 2014, at 5:15 p.m. in Conference Room 3A of City Hall, followed by the Commission meeting at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers.

Submitted by:

ERNESTO MUNOZ
Public Services Director

From: Oseguera, Gabriella <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2015 2:08 PM
To: HARTLEY, BRUCE; [REDACTED]
Subject: Yukon Trees

Hi Bruce-

I am requesting the removal of two trees that are adjacent to my property wall that faces my pool. I realize that the city did come out and install a 12 inch root barrier on 1 tree but not the other one. Unfortunately, the 12 inch root barrier will not protect my wall nor my pool. I spoke to the gentlemen that did the work putting in the root barriers and they said this will not stop the roots from coming into my property. The roots will continue to grow up and around and or under the root barrier. As I mentioned earlier the city did put a root barrier for second tree, which is the younger of the two and is the one with most potential of future damage because it has not reached its full maturity. I realize the city is putting a lot of money and effort into the beautification of The Rancho Mesa Community, but these small fixes will not take care of the big problem, which is property damage. I would like to present my case to the Parks an Recreation Department, can you add this to their next available meeting. Thank you again, for all your patience and rapid response to our communities problem.

Sincerely-

Gabriella Oseguera, MBA
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

City of Costa Mesa
Maintenance Services Division
FIELD INSPECTION – TREE INFORMATION

ATTACHMENT #3

Denial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Category	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Supporting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Category	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>

Date Request Received:

Name of Resident: Gabriella Oseguera Requesting Party: _____
Address: 3081 Klondike Ave. Address: _____
Home Phone: _____ Home Phone: _____
Work Phone: _____ Work Phone: _____

Date Inspected: 10/31/13
Inspected By: Daniel Dominguez III – Interim City Arborist
Parkway Maintenance Report:

Tree Species: Pinus canariensis 3062x Removal Cost: (DBH x \$20.00)= **\$400.00**
Yukon Ave F3
Height: 60 Feet Width of Sidewalk: 4 Feet
Trunk Diameter: 20 Inches Size of Right-of-Way: 20 Feet

Health: Good Fair Poor Date of Last Pruning: **5/05/2011**

Is the Tree a good candidate for Relocation? Yes No

Likelihood of survival: Good Fair Poor

Comments: **Extensive damage to curb & gutter and sidewalk would be required to box the tree. Estimated value in Arbor Access is \$9,110.00**

Concrete Damage: Yes No

If Yes, describe damage: **Cracked sidewalk and lifted panel.**

Can the Tree be Root Pruned: Yes No Date: _____

Root Pruning Comments: **Root pruning will mitigate lifting and cracking.**

Date of Response to Resident: _____

Date Information Packet Mailed: _____

Photos Taken: Yes No Date Photos Taken: **10/31/2013**

Photo #1: **3062x Yukon Ave. F3 looking north**

Photo #2: **3062x Yukon Ave F3 base of tree**

Photo #3: _____

Photo #4: _____

Yukon Avenue – Tree F3 Behind 3081 Klondike Avenue



**City of Costa Mesa
Maintenance Services Division
FIELD INSPECTION – TREE INFORMATION**

Denial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Category	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Supporting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Category	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>

Date Request Received: _____

Name of Resident:	<u>Gabriella Oseguera</u>	Requesting Party:	_____
Address:	<u>3081 Klondike Ave.</u>	Address:	_____
Home Phone:	_____	Home Phone:	_____
Work Phone:	_____	Work Phone:	_____

Date Inspected: 10/31/13

Inspected By: Daniel Dominguez III – Interim City Arborist

Parkway Maintenance Report:

Tree Species:	<u>Pinus canariensis 3062x Yukon Ave F4</u>	Removal Cost: (DBH x \$15.00)=	<u>\$120.00</u>
Height:	<u>30 Feet</u>	Width of Sidewalk:	<u>4 Feet</u>
Trunk Diameter:	<u>8 Inches</u>	Size of Right-of-Way:	<u>20 Feet</u>

Health: Good Fair Poor Date of Last Pruning: 5/05/2011

Is the Tree a good candidate for Relocation? Yes No

Likelihood of survival: Good Fair Poor

Comments: **Extensive damage to curb & gutter and sidewalk would be required to box the tree.
Estimated value in Arbor Access is \$4,890.00**

Concrete Damage: Yes No

If Yes, describe damage: _____

Can the Tree be Root Pruned: Yes No Date: _____

Root Pruning Comments: **Root pruning not required.**

Date of Response to Resident: _____

Date Information Packet Mailed: _____

Photos Taken: Yes No Date Photos Taken: 10/31/2013

Photo #1: 3062x Yukon Ave. F4 looking north

Photo #2: 3062x Yukon Ave F4 base of tree

Photo #3: _____

Photo #4: _____

Yukon Avenue – Tree F4 Behind 3081 Klondike Avenue





CITY OF COSTA MESA

P.O. BOX 1200 • 77 FAIR DRIVE • CALIFORNIA 92628-1200

FROM THE OFFICE OF MAINTENANCE SERVICES

April 8, 2015

Notice of Public Meeting - Yukon Avenue Tree Removal

Dear Resident:

You are receiving this letter to inform you of two formal requests received from property owners in the Yukon Avenue corridor requesting the removal of two (2) City-owned parkway trees located on the north side of Yukon Avenue immediately west of Klondike Circle and three City-owned (3) landscape set-back trees located on the north side of the street just east of Klondike Avenue, along Yukon Avenue.

The requests have been agendaized for the Parks and Recreation Commission meeting scheduled for Thursday, April 23, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. You are invited to attend the meeting, which will be held in the Council Chambers of City Hall located at 77 Fair Drive. The agenda report relating to this request can be found on the City's website at www.costamesaca.gov approximately one week prior to the meeting. The City Council has empowered the Parks and Recreation Commission to make determinations on requests for the removal of City-owned trees.

If you have any questions or concerns, you may contact me at (714) 754-5164. Should you wish to provide written comments for the Parks and Recreation Commission regarding this topic, you may do so by mailing them to "Parks & Recreation Commission, City of Costa Mesa, P.O. Box 1200, Costa Mesa, CA 92628 or by electronic mail to ParksCommission@costamesaca.gov. All comments become public record and will be provided to the Commission prior to the meeting.

Sincerely,

Bruce A. Hartley

Bruce A. Hartley
Maintenance Services Manager