



PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION AGENDA REPORT

MEETING DATE: MAY 26, 2016

ITEM NUMBER: **10e**

SUBJECT: ASSEMBLY BILL 2496 POTENTIAL IMPACT ON COSTA MESA SPORTS GROUPS

DATE: MAY 16, 2016

FROM: PARKS & COMMUNITY SERVICES / RECREATION DIVISION

PRESENTATION BY: JUSTIN MARTIN, INTERIM RECREATION MANAGER

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: CHRISTIAN HERNANDEZ, RECREATION COORDINATOR AT (714) 754-5302

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Parks and Recreation Commission provide direction to staff regarding submitting a recommendation on a legislative position on AB 2496 that would eliminate Daylight Savings Time (DST) in California.

BACKGROUND

At the March 24, 2016, Parks and Recreation Commission meeting, the Commission directed staff to provide an analysis in regards to the impact that AB 2496 would have on Costa Mesa sports groups and provide information regarding the potential execution of a legislative position letter. If passed, this piece of legislature would eliminate Daylight Savings Time (DST) in the state of California. DST as practiced, is the advancement of standard time by one hour to allow for the solar day to more closely correspond to normal daily activities.

ANALYSIS

As the number of participants in youth sports continues to grow within the City, it becomes increasingly challenging to fully allocate sufficient, lit, field space for each user group to utilize. The adoption of AB 2496 will result in a limited and decreased amount of playable field hours that a Costa Mesa sports group can utilize during evening hours in the months of March thru November, based on their individual allocation.

Staff has compiled data that displays the potential impact that the passing of AB 2496 may have on Costa Mesa sports groups (Attachment 1). Essentially, the total number of hours will decrease by approximately 3,782 hours. This information is based on current spring 2016 information.

The Parks and Community Services Department currently operates a total of four (4) facilities with permanent lights and four (4) facilities with temporary lights. The City's current inventory of functioning temporary lights is twenty-two (22). These lights are strategically allocated to the four (4) unlit facilities in an attempt to provide additional field usage hours to a large number of

participants. This allocation will continue regardless of whether AB 2496 is approved or denied. Since skies will get darker at an earlier hour, there will be an increased need for lighting on fields.

If AB 2496 is approved, multiple Costa Mesa sports groups that do not have access to temporary lights or permanent lit facilities will be affected. On occasion, user groups have had the need to rent their own temporary lighting units and provide for fencing panels. If AB 2496 passes this may become more prevalent.

The City may explore the feasibility of purchasing additional temporary lighting units and fencing panels if AB 2496 is passed. The chart below shows the total expenditure for one (1) lighting unit, including unit purchase price and diesel fuel costs.

Price per Light Unit	Gallons (L)	Price per Gallon (Red Diesel)	Run Time Until Empty
\$10,392	30	\$1.68	48 hours

LEGISLATIVE POSITION

Council Policy 000-8, the Legislative Policy, was established to provide a comprehensive and formal program for addressing legislative issues. This includes the establishment of signatory authority and the creation of legislative review guidelines (Attachment 2). Item number six (6) of the policy states that "City Commissions and Committees are prohibited from executing legislative position letters but may make recommendations to the Legislative Review Team or the City Council." The Legislative Review Team consists of the Mayor, Mayor Pro Tem, and the Chief Executive Officer. This team periodically reviews legislation and formulates the City's position.

If the Commission decides to take a position on this legislation staff will forward that recommendation to the City's Legislative Review Team on behalf of the Commission.

FISCAL REVIEW

No fiscal impact.

LEGAL REVIEW


No legal review is required for this item.


ALTERNATIVES

Commission could choose to decline taking a position on this matter and receive and file this report.

CONCLUSION

It is requested that the Parks and Recreation Commission review the potential impact that the adoption of AB 2496 may have on Costa Mesa sports groups and provide direction regarding the formation of a legislative position summary that will be forwarded to the City's Legislative Review Team or City Council.


Justin Martin
Interim Recreation Manager


Tamara S. Letourneau
Assistant Chief Executive Officer

Attachments: 1. Spring 2016 - AB 2496 04 12 2016
2. CP000-8 (12-2-04)

Attachment 1

Spring 2016 Allocation- Daylight Savings Ender (Weekdays)

Sport Organization	Youth or Adult	Number of Participants	Access to Lit Fields	Permitted Hours on Lit Fields	Permitted Hours on Non-Lit Fields	Permitted Time	Sunset - March	Sunset - April	Sunset - May	Sunset - June	Total Hours Lost
AYSO 97	Youth	861	Yes	Fairview Development Center x 2 = 586 Back Bay High School x 1 = 127	Kaiser x 3 = 852 Harper School x 2 = 578 Lindbergh x 1 = 304	4:00pm - 8:00pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	799.5 out of 1,734 46.1%
AYSO 120	Youth	583	Yes	Jack Hammett Sports Complex x 6 = 2262	California x 1 = 348 Balearic Community Center x 2 = 696	4:00pm - 8:00pm JH: 4:00pm - 9:00pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	399.75 out of 1,740 23.0% 0.00
Costa Mesa Pony	Youth	40	Yes	Tewinkle Sports Complex x 1 = 555	None	4:00pm - 9:00pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	799.5 out of 1,305 61.3%
CMALL	Youth	292	Yes	Tewinkle Sports Complex x 1 = 136	Costa Mesa HS x 4 = 924 College Park x 1 = 114 Paularino x 1 = 267	4:00pm - 8:00pm twsc: 4pm - 6pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	529 out of 1,728 30.6%
CMNLL	Youth	190	Yes	Tewinkle Middle School x 2 = 864	Tewinkle Middle School x 4 = 1,728	4:00pm - 8:00pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	799.5 out of 1,732 45.8%
CYSL	Youth	215	Yes	None	Rea x 2 = 864 Wilson x 1 = 432 Whittier x 1 = 172 Pomona x 1 = 176 Adams x 1 = 88	4:00pm - 8:00pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	396.75 out of 1,292 30.7% 0.00
NHBA	Youth	527	No	Lions Park-Davis Field x 1 = 530	Kaiser x 2 = 856 Woodland x 1 = 436	4:00pm - 8:00pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	0.00
INMGS	Youth	112	Yes	Tewinkle Sports Complex x 2 = 202	None	3:45pm - 6:00pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	0.00
CM Pop Warner	Youth	21	Yes	Parsons x 1 = 49.5	None	5:00pm - 8:00pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	0.00
Newport Mesa Soccer Club	Youth	20	Yes	Jack Hammett Sports Complex x 2 = 212 Davis x 1 = 43.5	Davis x 1 = 80	5:30pm - 7:00pm JH-SC: 7:30pm - 9:00pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	44.25 out of 80 55.3%
SoCal Elite Flag Football	Youth	654	Yes	Jack Hammett Sports Complex x 3 = 219	College Park x 1 = 19.5	5:30pm - 7:00pm JH-SC: 3:30pm - 9:30pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	12.25 out of 19.5 62.8%
Newport Mesa Friday Night Lights	Youth	805	Yes	Jack Hammett Sports Complex x 3 = 219 Costa Mesa HS x 2 = 94	Victoria x 1 = 9	5:00pm - 7:00pm JH-SC: 3:30pm - 9:30pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	7.75 out of 9 86.1%
Back Bay Rugby	Youth	512	No	Parsons x 1 = 132	No weekday use	5:00pm - 8:00pm	6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	0.00
International Soccer League	Adult	512	No		No weekday use		6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	
Newport Beach Women's Soccer	Adult	81	No		No weekday use		6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	
Newport Mesa Church	Adult	86	No		No weekday use		6:00pm	6:25pm	6:45pm	7:00pm	
Total Number of Hours Lost											3,782.25

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

COUNCIL POLICY

SUBJECT	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE
LEGISLATIVE POSITIONS	000-8	5/16/91 Revised 9/20/99	1 of 2

BACKGROUND

The increasing involvement in local affairs by Federal, State and County governments, coupled with the proliferation of special agencies and districts, makes it imperative that a formalized proactive approach be taken toward legislation which may impact the welfare of the Costa Mesa community. Past City Councils have participated in the legislative process to varying degrees. For the most part, such participation has been informal.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this Policy is to establish a comprehensive and formal program for addressing legislative issues. This includes the establishment of signatory authority and the creation of legislative review guidelines.

POLICY

1. The City Manager, or his designee, shall be responsible for overseeing the legislative review process.
2. A Legislative Review Team, consisting of the Mayor, Mayor Pro Tem, and the City Manager, shall periodically review legislation and formulate the City's position.
3. The Mayor is authorized to execute position letters on behalf of the City Council when the position is in concurrence with that of the National League of Cities, the State League of Cities, the Orange County Division of the League of Cities or the Orange County Council of Governments. At the direction of the Legislative Review Team, position letters may also be executed by the City Manager, or his designee, as appropriate.
4. Formal Council approval is required in instances in which the City's position may differ from that of the National League of Cities, the State League of Cities, the Orange County Division of the League of Cities or the Orange County Council of Governments.
5. Formal Council approval is required in instances in which the National League of Cities, the State League of Cities, the Orange County Division of the League of Cities or the Orange County Council of Governments have not taken a formal position.
6. City Commissions and Committees are prohibited from executing legislative position letters but may make recommendations to the Legislative Review Team or the City Council.

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

COUNCIL POLICY

SUBJECT	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE
LEGISLATIVE POSITIONS	000-8	5/16/91 Revised 9/20/99	2 of 2

7. All City Council members shall receive a copy of all legislative position letters written on City letterhead. A quarterly activity report of the City's legislative positions, and the status of such legislation, will be provided to the City Council.

8. The preceding in no way infringes upon the right of the City Council members to express individual positions on legislative issues. In expressing an individual position, City Council members will clearly state that the position is personal and not sent on behalf of the City or City Council.