UNOFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA PLANNING COMMISSION

June 22, 2015

These meeting minutes represent an "action minute" format with a concise summary of the meeting. A video of the meeting may be viewed on the City's website at www.costamesaca.gov or purchased on DVD upon request.

Commissioner McCarthy led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

Present: Chair Robert Dickson
Vice-Chair Mathews
Commissioner Colin McCarthy
Commissioner Tim Sesler

Absent: Commissioner Stephan Andranian

Staff: Claire Flynn, Assistant Development Services Director
Ivy M. Tsai, Planning Commission Counsel
Fariba Fazeli, City Engineer
Fidel Gamboa, Chief Code Enforcement Officer
Mel Lee, Senior Planner
Ryan Loomis, Associate Planner
Chelsea Crager, Assistant Planner
Martha Rosales, Recording Secretary
Julie Colgan, Administrative Secretary

PRESENTATIONS:

Presentation on the state of housing, commerce, and the economy by the Orange County Business Council.

Larry Brose, Vice President of the Orange County Business Council, gave a presentation on workforce housing.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Chris McEvoy, Costa Mesa resident, spoke about the housing shortage, density, and over developing the City.

Beth Refakes, Costa Mesa resident, spoke about donations needed for the Marine Corps ball and consideration of open space in high density projects.

Barrie Fisher, Costa Mesa resident, stated concerns about the lack of handicap parking spaces by Planet Fitness.

A Costa Mesa resident spoke about the economy not growing and not supporting what is being done with the housing in the City.

Wendy Leece, Costa Mesa resident, spoke about continuing an item that goes late, being visionary and leader's in future affordable housing projects and being consistent with red tagging.

A Costa Mesa resident addressed concerns with how the City operates and who is making the decisions.

A Costa Mesa resident spoke about a meeting that happened at Hoag hospital that addressed a concern with a chemical plant and its location being near public hearing item #4.

A Costa Mesa resident stated concerns with building units that are not for the aging population in the City.

A Costa Mesa resident asked about where the information came from with the available homes in the Mr. Brose's presentation and spoke about the affordable housing in the City.

PLANNING COMMISSIONER COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

Commissioner McCarthy asked Claire Flynn for an update with the Fairview Developmental Center. Ms. Flynn responded that the State's short term plan is to close the facility by 2018 and it's been undecided on what to do with the property. He also addressed housing and the farmers market; and the attacks on City staff.

Commissioner Sesler addressed the public comments about the change in the City, idea of continuing an item past 12 o'clock and best dialogue to use when giving a public comment.

Chair Dickson addressed the public comments about the industrial area and asked staff about the handicapped parking issue brought up by Ms. Fisher and red tagging. Ms. Flynn responded that staff will reach out to the property management to ensure they are enforcing any illegal parking in handicaps spaces. Staff will also work with property management to create new handicap spaces if possible. Ms. Flynn also responded to the process of red tagging.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Chair Dickson asked if anyone wanted to pull any items from the consent calendar. The public pulled items #2 and #3. Chair Dickson pulled consent calendar item #1.

4. Request to cancel the Planning Commission meeting of July 13, 2015

MOTION: Approve cancellation.
Moved by Commissioner McCarthy, seconded by Chair Dickson.

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes:	Dickson, Mathews, McCarthy, Sesler
Noes:	None
Absent:	Andranian
Abstained:	None

1. Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Operational Status of the Code Enforcement Division

Fidel Gamboa, Chief Code Enforcement Officer, gave a presentation on the Code Enforcement Division operational status.

Chair Dickson inquired about their technology upgrades, Costa Mesa connect, and graffiti.

Commissioner Sesler asked what big goals they want to accomplish. Mr. Gamboa responded that they want specific individuals to be a go to person to deal with specific issues.

Commissioner McCarthy and Vice Chair Mathews congratulated Mr. Gamboa on his promotion.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

A Costa Mesa resident thanked Mr. Gamboa for taking down signs in the public-right-of-way.

A Costa Mesa resident commended Code Enforcement staff on their quick response to graffiti removal.

MOTION: Receive and file.
Moved by Commissioner McCarthy, seconded by Chair Dickson.

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes:	Dickson, Mathews, McCarthy, Sesler
Noes:	None
Absent:	Andranian
Abstained:	None

2. Proposed Vacation of Excess Right-of-way at 970 West 16th Street and;

3. Proposed Vacation of Flowage Easement at 970 West 16th Street

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Jay Humphrey stated concerns with who is paying for the pipeline, who is getting the incentives, vacation of the right-of-way easement, and the increase in traffic.

Chair closed the public hearing.

Fariba Fazeli, City Engineer, responded to Mr. Humphrey's concerns. She stated that the developer will pay for the storm drain.

MOTION: Approve Consent Calendar Item # 2 and #3 by adoption of Planning Commission Resolutions.
Moved by Commissioner McCarthy, seconded by Commissioner Sesler.

RESOLUTION 15-38 – A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA FINDING THAT THE CITY OF COSTA MESA'S VACATION OF A STREET AND HIGHWAY EASEMENT WITHIN THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 970 W. 16TH STREET IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY OF COSTA MESA 2000 GENERAL PLAN, WILL SERVE THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AND IS A PUBLIC BENEFIT

RESOLUTION 15-39 – A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA FINDING THAT THE CITY OF COSTA MESA'S VACATION OF A FLOWAGE EASEMENT WITHIN THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 970 W. 16TH STREET IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY OF COSTA MESA 2000 GENERAL PLAN, WILL SERVE THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AND IS A PUBLIC BENEFIT

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Dickson, Mathews, McCarthy, Sesler
Noes: None
Absent: Andranian
Abstained: None

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

3. **Application No.** PA-15-10 & TT-17870
Applicant: Eric Trabert
Site Address: 2366 Orange Avenue
Zone: R2-MD
Project Planner: Ryan Loomis
Environmental Determination: This exemption is to promote infill development within urbanized areas. The class consists of environmentally benign in-fill projects which are consistent with local general plan and zoning requirements. This class is not intended to be applied to projects which would result in any significant traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality effects. Application of this exemption, as all categorical exemptions, is limited by the factors described in section 15300.2

Description: The proposed project involves:

1. **Design Review** to construct a six-unit, two-story, small lot subdivision on a 23,159 sq. ft. lot in an R2-MD zone. The project will include an automatic gate off the Orange Avenue entrance. In addition, the project requests the following deviations from the R2-MD development standards:
    a. Administrative adjustment from front yard setback for Lot 6/ Plan C (20 feet required; 12 feet proposed off Norse Avenue).
    b. Minor modification for front yard setback for a front porch on Lot 1/ Plan A (20 feet required; 16 feet proposed).

The property is a through lot with access from Orange Avenue and Norse Avenue. The two-story detached homes consist of 3 to 4 bedrooms and two-car garage with a minimum interior dimension of 20' x 20'.

2. **Tentative Tract Map** TT-17870: A Residential Small Lot Subdivision consisting of six fee simple lots.

Ryan Loomis, Associate Planner, presented the staff report.

Commissioner McCarthy asked about the height, setbacks, and the density in a R1 zone properties verses R2-MD zone properties.

Vice Chair Mathews asked for clarification regarding single-stories in R1 zones and R2-MD zones could build up to a second story if they met code requirements. Mr. Loomis responded yes.

PUBLIC COMMENTS
Doug DeCinces, representative of Kings Road Partners LLC, gave a presentation on the proposed project and responded to efforts made to the neighbors on Wilson.

Commissioner McCarthy asked Ms. Fazeli for an analysis of Southern California Edison's position stating that they could not underground the utilities.

Jerome Blackman, Costa Mesa resident, stated concerns with reversing the project, the drainage and requested a no outlet sign be posted to help stop some of the traffic.

A Costa Mesa resident asked for explanation about the entrance on Orange and the parking on Norse Avenue.

Jay Humphrey, Costa Mesa resident, stated concerns with the bonus room becoming a bedroom and the under parking it would cause.

A Costa Mesa resident stated concerns with the setbacks, privacy issues, air quality and being blocked in.

Doug Gorrie, Costa Mesa resident, spoke on behalf of himself and the neighbors and stated reasons why they oppose the project.

Mr. DeCinces responded to the public comments and gave Commissioners an email from Edison about undergrounding the electric.

Commissioner McCarthy asked the applicant if he would agree to add a condition that the bonus room cannot be made into a bedroom. Mr. DeCinces agreed.

Chair Dickson asked the applicant if he has read and is in agreement with the conditions of approval. Mr. DeCinces responded yes.

Vice Chair Mathews asked if they could still make enough money building a 5-unit instead of a 6-unit. Mr. Decinces responded no. There is not a market for it.

Commissioner Sesler asked the applicant about the parking, providing screening, setbacks and through traffic.

Vice Chair Mathews asked about having two gates. Ms. Flynn responded that the applicant did approach them with a plan to have a gated community and transportation did have a concern with a gate off of Norse because it did not have adequate distance for vehicle queuing. He also asked if the option is open for secure gates. Ms. Flynn responded yes.

Chair closed the public hearing.

Commissioners discussed the right of building up in an R2-MD lot, the setbacks, conditioning of the windows, conditioning the bonus room, conditioning the trees for landscaping, gate issues, reasoning to vote against the application, being a good project for the neighborhood, and the wall.

MOTION: Based in the evidence in the record and the findings set forth in Exhibit A, subject to conditions set forth in Exhibit B, that the Planning Commission hereby approves Planning Application PA-15-10 and Tentative Tract Map TT-17870 for a six-unit detached Residential Development located at 2366 Orange Avenue including the Planning Commission supplemental memorandum dated June 22, 2015 with the following changes to the conditions of approval:

- Additional condition requiring transom windows be required to the extent feasible for the southerly elevations for units 1-6.
- Additional condition prohibiting the applicant from walling off the bonus room that will become part of the CC&R's for unit A as agreed to by the applicant.
- Additional condition that the applicant work with transportation services on the selection and erection of the gates to be agreed to by the parties.
- Additional condition at the applicants cost, work with City staff on proposing landscaping or other screening to separate the properties at the southerly elevation.

Moved by Commissioner McCarthy, second by Commissioner Sesler.

RESOLUTION 15-42 – A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA APPROVING PLANNING APPLICATION PA-15-10 AND TENTATIVE TRACT MAP TT-17870 FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2366 ORANGE AVENUE

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes:	Dickson, Mathews, McCarthy, Sesler
Noes:	None
Absent:	Andranian
Abstained:	None

The Chair explained the appeal process.

Chair Dickson called a 3-minute break.

1. **Application No.:** PA-08-27 A1
Applicant: Dan Stansell
Site Address: 1872 Newport Boulevard
Zone: C2
Project Planner: Chelsea Crager
Environmental Determination: If approved, the project is categorically exempt under Section 15301 of the State CEQA (California Environmental Quality Act) Guidelines – Class 1 (existing facilities).

Description: Amend Conditional Use Permit PA-08-27 allowing a jewelry store to operate with a pawnbroker license (Jack's Jewelry and Loan) to remove the conditions of approval requiring that pawnbroker services be limited to watches and jewelry only and prohibiting loans for less than $2,500.00.

Chelsea Crager, Assistant Planner, presented the staff report.

Commissioner McCarthy asked if the police are in support of the project. Ms. Crager responded no.

The Commissioners discussed in length the police not being in support of the application, why the loan amount was lowered, issues with service calls, if they kept records of who comes in, how staff chose the particular luxury items, code enforcement violations, and if a sign program would apply.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Dan Stansell, applicant, gave an overview about of the business and presented justification for approval of the application.

Jordan Tabach-Bank, business co-owner, gave a presentation about eliminating the restrictions against making loans for under $2,500, letters of support for their business, and addressed Commissioner's inquiries about requirements for obtaining a loan or purchasing an item.

Chair Dickson asked if the business sends the pawn ticket to the Department of Justice and the local police department and what the police department does with them. Mr. Tabach-Bank responded that the local police department handles it and manually enters it into a computer database.

Commissioner McCarthy asked why it is the police department's responsibility to do the paperwork and processing to see if the pawned items are stolen. Mr. Tabach-Bank responded that is the current law and that they are working for a state wide uniformed downloading system.

A Costa Mesa resident stated concerns with the letters of support and spoke against the application.

A Costa Mesa resident spoke against the application and stated concerns with the signage.

Teresa Drain, Costa Mesa resident, spoke against the application.

Beth Refakes, Costa Mesa resident, stated concerns with the signage and supports the denial of the application.

A Costa Mesa resident stated that they are not in support of the change in the conditional use permit, and have concerns with the letters of support, crime increase, home values, policing of the tickets and the revenue it will bring to the City.

Jack Schecter, founder of Jewelry-N-Loan, gave a character reference and addressed the issue of the sign violations that occurred when he was the owner.

Mr. Tabach-Bank and Mr. Stansell responded to public comments.

Vice Chair Mathews asked for clarification of the request for the expansion of list of eligible items to be pawned is for the purchased item or loan amount. Ms. Crager responded it is only for the loan amount.

Commissioner Sesler and Vice Chair Mathews asked the applicant if they would be willing to bring their signs on the side of the building into compliance with the City's sign ordinance. Mr. Tabach-Bank responded they are open to suggestions.

Commissioner Sesler asked about how they could enhance their security and what steps they take to make sure the item is not stolen. Mr. Tabach-Bank responded by going over security measures.

Chair closed the public hearing.

The Commissioners discussed the application in length.

MOTION: Based on the evidence in the record and the findings contained in Exhibit A, subject to conditions of approval contained in Exhibit B, that the Planning Commission hereby approves Planning Application PA-08-27 A1 with the following modifications:
<u>Condition of Approval No. 6 to read</u>: "The applicant shall submit a sign plan to upgrade and renovate the existing building wall sign on the front elevation to complement existing signage in the downtown district for the review and approval of the Development Services Director. The existing building wall signs on both side elevations of the building shall be completely removed. The installation of the new building wall signage on the front elevation and the removal of all wall signage along the side elevations shall occur within 60 days of the date of approval of the Amendment to the Conditional Use Permit, unless an extension is granted by the Development Services Director for just cause. No changes to the existing above-roof sign are required".

<u>Condition of Approval No. 12 to read</u>: "Pawnbroker services further shall not be permitted for sports equipment, lawn equipment, clothing, household goods, electronics, or any other item not specifically permitted in Condition of Approval Number 11".
<u>Condition of Approval No. 13 to read</u>: "Security measures described in the security plan shall be maintained, including:

- **Installation of 27 surveillance cameras covering the interior and exterior of the building, with 6 months of stored footage**
- **An armed security guard on the premises during operating hours**
- **Installation of an alarm system"**

Moved by Chair Dickson, seconded by Vice Chair Mathews.

<u>RESOLUTION 15-40</u> – A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA APPROVING CERTAIN AMENDED CONDITIONS FOR PLANNING APPLICATION PA-08-27 A1 A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR A PAWN BROKER LOCATED AT 1872 NEWPORT BOULEVARD

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes:	Dickson, Mathews, Sesler
Noes:	McCarthy
Absent:	Andranian
Abstained:	None

The Chair explained the appeal process.

2. **Application No.:** R-14-05, PA-14-48, TT-17824
Applicant: Pinnacle Residential
Site Address: 2880 Mesa Verde Drive East
Zone: I&R (Existing); R1 (Proposed)
Project Planner: Mel Lee
Environmental Determination: The City of Costa Mesa proposes to adopt a Negative Declaration for the Project. The Initial Study/Negative Declaration was available for review and comment from March 27, 2015 to April 25, 2015. It was extended for public review and comment for a period of 20 days from June 11, 2015, to July 1, 2015 due to the amended project description which did not change the mitigation measures (none) or the environmental conclusions. The Negative Declaration is based on the finding that, through compliance with the Standard Conditions of Approval and Code Requirements, the environmental effects from the project would be less than significant. The reasons to support such a finding are documented by an Initial Study prepared by the City of Costa Mesa.

Description: The proposed project at 2880 Mesa Verde Drive East involves the following:

1) Adoption of an ***Initial Study/Negative Declaration (IS/ND);***
2) ***Rezone R-14-05*** - A Rezone (or change) of the zoning classification of 2-acre site from I&R (Institutional and Recreational) to R1 (Single Family Residential District) (8 dwelling units per acre maximum allowed);
3) ***Planning Application PA-14-48*** – A Design Review for the development of a 10-unit, two-story, single-family residential development (5 dwelling units per acre proposed). The units would take access from a 40-foot wide private street from Mesa Verde Drive East. R1 lot sizes range from 8,712 sq. ft. to 6,902 sq. ft., excluding the private street (minimum 6,000 sq. ft. required);
4) ***Tentative Tract Map TT-17824*** – Subdivision of the property into 10 fee simple lots for homeownership.

Mel Lee, Senior Planner, presented the staff report.

The Commissioners asked for clarification about the project not having any deviations or variations.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Peter Zehnder, applicant, is in agreement with the Conditions of Approval except for No. 5. Mr. Zehnder stated he did not want to duplicate the extra planters along Andros. He also clarified there will be a fire access gate off of Andros required by the Fire Department.

Vice Chair Mathews asked Mr. Zehnder to clarify what he wants to strike from Condition of Approval No. 5. Mr. Zehnder responded that he wants to strike the extra planter adjacent to the wall in between the sidewalk on Andros due to the accessibility and the maintenance.

Jay Humphrey, Costa Mesa resident, asked if in the CC&R's there could be language to include that the units cannot be subleased by renters based on concerns of them becoming group homes.

Chair closed the public hearing.

Chair Dickson asked if they could include Mr. Humphrey's suggested provision. Ivy M. Tsai, Planning Commission Counsel, responded that they will take it as direction. He also suggested amending Condition of Approval No. 5 and 16A.

Commissioners thanked Mr. Zehnder for his efforts with the project, for listening to their feedback and for producing a great project.

MOTION: Based on the evidence in the record and the findings contained in Exhibit A, subject to conditions contained in Exhibit B and Exhibit B1, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the City Council:

1. **Adopt the Initial Study/Negative Declaration (IS/ND);**
2. **Give first reading to Rezone R-14-05; and**
3. **Approve the Planning Application PA-14-48/TT-17824 with the following modifications:**
   <u>Condition of Approval No. 5:</u> eliminate the phrase "and Andros Street"
   <u>Condition of Approval No. 16A:</u> strike the phrase "all parking"

Moved by Vice Chair Mathews, seconded by Commissioner McCarthy.

<u>RESOLUTION 15-41</u> – A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA ADOPT THE INITIAL STUDY/NEGATIVE DECLARATION, APPROVE REZONE R-14-05 FOR A REZONE OF THE ZONING CLASSIFICATION OF A 2-ACRE DEVELOPMENT SITE FROM I&R (INSTITUTIONAL AND RECREATIONAL) TO SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT (R1), AND APPROVE PLANNING APPLICATION PA-14-48, AND TENTATIVE TRACT T-17824, FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A 2-STORY, 10-UNIT DETACHED SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2880 MESA VERDE DRIVE EAST

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes:	Dickson, Mathews, McCarthy, Sesler
Noes:	None
Absent:	Andranian
Abstained:	None

4. **Application No.:** PA-15-13 AND T-17869
Applicant: Melia Homes/Chad Brown
Site Address: 1672 Placentia Avenue
Zone: MG
Project Planner: Mel Lee
Environmental Determination: The project is categorically exempt under Section 15332 of the State CEQA (California Environmental Quality Act) Guidelines – Class 32 (In-fill Development Projects).

Description: The proposed project involves the following:

1. ***Planning Application PA-15-13:*** Urban Master Plan development for 32 three-story live/work units located in the MG zone and Mesa West Bluffs Urban Plan with the following deviation from the Mesa West Bluffs Urban Plan standards:
   - A deviation from main building separation standards (10 feet required; 8'-2" proposed for interior units and 8'-0" for exterior units proposed).

The proposed project consists of three-story units with a minimum 250 sq. ft. work space on the ground floor. Roof Decks are also proposed. The project exceeds the City's minimum parking requirement for live/work developments (96 spaces required, 98 spaces proposed). The overall FAR is 0.97.

2. ***Tentative Tract Map T-17869:*** The subdivision of the 1.8-acre property for ownership units in accordance with the common interest development subdivision standards.

Mel Lee, Senior Planner, presented the staff report.

Commissioner McCarthy asked for clarification on the undergrounding of the above ground electric poles. Ms. Fazeli responded the code does not require the undergrounding and the condition was taken out because the cost would prevent the project to go forward.

The Commissioner discussed the undergrounding requirements for future projects. Mr. Fazeli stated they are going to bring it City Council in July.

Chair Dickson requested that in Condition of Approval 19G, fourth bullet #4, verbiage "shall be maintained as a workspace" be added.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Chad Brown, applicant, has read and is in agreement with the Conditions of Approval. He also gave a slideshow presentation about the project.

Chair Dickson asked the applicant for clarification with the electric poles.

Jay Humphrey, Costa Mesa resident, stated concerns with the increase in traffic and parking.

Barrie Fisher, Costa Mesa resident, stated concerns with another Melia project off of Victoria not complying with the hours of construction.

Richard Russell, Costa Mesa resident, spoke in favor of the project.

Mr. Brown addressed the public concern regarding the Melia project off of Victoria.

Chair closed the public hearing.

The Commissioners and staff discussed Condition of Approval No. 26 and the public comments on parking and traffic.

MOTION: Based on the evidence in the record and the findings contained in Exhibit A, subject to Conditions of Approval contained in Exhibit B that the Planning Commission hereby approves Planning Application PA-15-13 and Tentative Tract Map 17869 and that the project has been reviewed for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and has been found to be exempt from CEQA under section 15332 for In-fill Development with the following modifications:
Condition of Approval No. 26 – striking the words "publications such as Air B and B". Also striking "or removal/discontinuation of the advertising".
Condition of Approval No. 19G – adding a bullet point that states "there shall be no transient occupancy".
Condition of Approval No. 19G bullet point #4 – add to shall not be used as a bedroom for sleeping purposes, "and maintained as a workspace".
Moved by Chair Dickson, seconded by Commissioner McCarthy.

RESOLUTION 15-43 – A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA APPROVING PLANNING APPLICATION PA-15-13 AND TENTATIVE TRACT MAP TT-17869 FOR A THREE-STORY, 32 UNIT LIVE/WORK PROJECT LOCATED AT 1672 PLACENTIA AVENUE

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes:	Dickson, Mathews, McCarthy, Sesler
Noes:	None
Absent:	Andranian
Abstained:	None

The Chair explained the appeal process.

DEPARTMENTAL REPORT(S)

1. Public Services Report – None.

2. Economic and Development Services Report – None.

CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE REPORT(S)

1. City Attorney – None.

ADJOURNMENT: NEXT PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING AT 6:00 P.M. ON JULY 27, 2015.

Submitted by:

_____
CLAIRE FLYNN, SECRETARY
COSTA MESA PLANNING COMMISSION